arXiv:1209.3529v2 [math.SG] 7 Oct 2012

PERIODIC ORBITS OF HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS LINEAR AND HYPERBOLIC AT INFINITY

BAŞAK Z. GÜREL

Abstract. We consider Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of the Euclidean space, generated by compactly supported time-dependent perturbations of hyperbolic quadratic forms. We prove that, under some natural assumptions, such a diffeomorphism must have simple periodic orbits of arbitrarily large period when it has fixed points which are not necessary from a homological perspective.

Contents

1. Introduction and main results 1
1.1. Introduction 1
1.2. Main results 3
1.3. Organization of the paper 4
1.4. Acknowledgements 4
2. Conventions and notation 5
3. Maximum principle and Floer homology 6
3.1. Floer homology 6
3.2. Continuation maps beyond $\mathcal{H}_Q$ 8
4. Proofs and generalizations 9
4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 9
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4 12
References 13

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. **Introduction.** In this paper, we consider time-dependent Hamiltonians H on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ which coincide, outside a compact set, with a hyperbolic quadratic form. We prove that, under some additional conditions, the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism φ_H must have simple periodic orbits of arbitrarily large (prime) period when it has certain "homologically unnecessary" fixed points. In particular, φ_H then has infinitely many periodic orbits. To be more precise, this result holds provided that φ_H has at least one non-degenerate (or even homologically non-trivial) fixed point with non-zero mean index, and the quadratic form has only real eigenvalues. (See Remark 3.3 for the case of complex eigenvalues.)

Date: October 9, 2012.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D40, 37J10.
Key words and phrases. Periodic orbits, Hamiltonian flows, Floer homology, Conley conjecture.
The work is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0906204.

Our main motivation for studying this question comes from a variant of the Conley conjecture, applicable to manifolds for which the standard Conley conjecture fails. Recall in this connection that the latter asserts the existence of infinitely many periodic orbits for every Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of a closed symplectic manifold. This is the case for manifolds with spherically-vanishing first Chern class (of the tangent bundle) and also for negative monotone manifolds; see [CGG, GG1, He] and also [FH, Gi2, GG4, Hi, LeC, SZ]. However, the Conley conjecture, as stated, fails for some simple manifolds such as S^2: an irrational rotation of S^2 about the z-axis has only two periodic orbits, which are also the fixed points; these are the poles. In fact, any manifold that admits a Hamiltonian torus action with isolated fixed points also admits a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism with finitely many periodic orbits. In particular, $\mathbb{CP}^n$, the Grassmannians, and, more generally, most of the coadjoint orbits of compact Lie groups as well as symplectic toric manifolds all admit Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with finitely many periodic orbits.

A viable alternative to the Conley conjecture for such manifolds is the conjecture that a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism with "more than necessary" non-degenerate (or just homologically non-trivial) fixed points has infinitely many periodic orbits. Here "more than necessary" is usually interpreted as a lower bound arising from some version of the Arnold conjecture. For $\mathbb{CP}^n$, the expected threshold is $n+1$. This conjecture is inspired by a celebrated theorem of Franks stating that a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism (or, even, an area preserving homeomorphism) of S^2 with at least three fixed points must have infinitely many periodic orbits, [Fr1, Fr2]; see also [FH, LeC] for further refinements and [BH, CKRTZ, Ke] for symplectic topological proofs. We will refer to this analogue of the Conley conjecture as the *HZ-conjecture*, for, to the best of our knowledge, the first written account of the assertion is in [HZ, p. 263].

We find it useful to view the HZ-conjecture in a broader context. Namely, it appears that the presence of a fixed point that is unnecessary from a homological or geometrical perspective is already sufficient to force the existence of infinitely many periodic orbits. For instance, a theorem from [GG5] asserts that, for a certain class of closed monotone symplectic manifolds including $\mathbb{CP}^n$, any Hamiltonian diffeomorphism with a hyperbolic fixed point must necessarily have infinitely many periodic orbits. (Note that the original HZ-conjecture, at least for non-degenerate Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{CP}^n$, would follow if one could replace a hyperbolic fixed point with a non-elliptic one in this theorem.) Furthermore, there are obvious analogues of the HZ-conjecture for symplectomorphisms or non-contractible periodic orbits of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. These analogues are also of interest and in some instances more accessible than the original HZ-conjecture; see, e.g., [GG2, GG5].

The generalized HZ-conjecture is also the central theme of this paper, although here we focus on a different aspect of the problem. Our main result, Theorem 1.1, can be viewed as a "local version" of this conjecture, and it holds in all dimensions. Namely, we prove a variant of the HZ-conjecture for Hamiltonians on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ which are compactly supported perturbations of certain quadratic forms. Working with $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ allows us to circumvent a number of symplectic topological obstacles to proving the HZ-conjecture and concentrate on what we interpret as the dynamical part of the problem, still quite non-trivial. This is a key difference, technical and conceptual, between the present work and the approach taken in [GG5] where symplectic topology of the ambient manifold plays a central role. We use Floer theoretical techniques in the proofs. Deferring a more detailed discussion of our method to Section 1.2, we merely mention at this point that, for technical reasons, the quadratic form needs to be hyperbolic. Finally, it should also be noted that Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with a controlled (e.g., asymptotically linear) behavior at infinity have been extensively studied in the context of Hamiltonian mechanics by classical variational methods; see, e.g., [AZ, An, MW, Ra, ZL, Zo] and references therein. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no overlap between that approach and the present work, including the results.

1.2. **Main results.** To state the main results of the paper, recall that the *mean index* $\Delta_H(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ of a periodic orbit x of the Hamiltonian flow of H measures, roughly speaking, the total angle swept by certain eigenvalues with absolute value one of the linearized flow $d\varphi_H^t$ along x; see [Lo, SZ] and also [EP, Section 3.3] and references therein for a more detailed discussion. For instance, the mean index is zero when $d\varphi_H^t$ has no eigenvalues on the unit circle for any t, and hence the orbit is hyperbolic. Finally, denote by $\mathrm{Fix}(\varphi_H)$ the collection of fixed points of φ_H.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $H\colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian which is equal to a hyperbolic quadratic form Q at infinity, i.e., outside a compact set, and such that Q has only real eigenvalues. Assume that φ_H has a non-degenerate fixed point with non-zero mean index and* $\mathrm{Fix}(\varphi_H)$ *is finite. Then φ_H has simple periodic orbits of arbitrarily large period.*

As a consequence, φ_H has infinitely many simple periodic orbits regardless of whether $\mathrm{Fix}(\varphi_H)$ is finite or not. In fact, the non-degeneracy condition in Theorem 1.1 can be relaxed and replaced by a much weaker, albeit more technical, condition that the point is isolated and homologically non-trivial, i.e., its local Floer homology is non-zero. This is Theorem 4.1.

Remark 1.2. This theorem and Theorem 1.4 below as well as their generalizations discussed in Section 4, also hold when the quadratic form Q has complex eigenvalues λ, provided that $|\mathrm{Re}\ \lambda| > |\mathrm{Im}\ \lambda|$; see Remark 3.3.

Remark 1.3. Viewing Theorem 1.1 from the perspective of the generalized HZ-conjecture, observe that the non-degenerate (or homologically non-trivial) fixed point with non-zero mean index is the "unnecessary" point. Furthermore, presence of one such point x implies the existence of at least two other (homologically non-trivial) orbits. Indeed, the Floer homology for all iterations of H is concentrated in degree zero (see Section 3.1), and once k is so large that the index of the iterated orbit x^k is outside the range $[-n, n]$, another orbit must take over generating the homology. Furthermore, there should be at least one more periodic orbit to cancel out the contribution of x^k to the homology in higher degrees.

Hypothetically, results similar to Theorem 1.1 and other theorems discussed in this section hold when a hyperbolic quadratic form is replaced by any quadratic form Q without non-trivial periodic orbits. For instance, in this case, one can expect to have infinitely many periodic orbits whenever φ_H has a non-degenerate fixed point with mean index different from $\Delta_Q(0)$ or has at least two non-degenerate fixed points; cf. Remark 4.4. (The latter conjecture, which was the starting point of this work, is due to Alberto Abbondandolo.)

As has been pointed out above, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on Floer theory. However, for a general quadratic form Q, even when the Floer homology exists, continuation maps fail to have the desired properties and the homology is not invariant under iterations. This is the case, for instance, for positive or negative definite Q (see Remark 3.5) and the main reason why we restrict our attention to hyperbolic quadratic forms. Now, however, the foundational aspects of the theory have to be reexamined. We do this in Section 3, using, as one could expect, a version of the maximum principle.

The condition that the fixed point is non-degenerate (and that it has non-zero mean index) is essential in Theorem 1.1. For instance, starting with the flow of $Q(x, y) = xy$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, it is easy to introduce degenerate (homologically trivial) fixed points by slightly perturbing the flow away from the saddle. This way one can create an arbitrarily large number of fixed points without generating infinitely many periodic orbits. (A similar argument may also work for $\mathbb{CP}^n$ with $n > 1$, but not for S^2.) In fact, some form of non-degeneracy (e.g., homological non-triviality) is probably essential in the HZ-conjecture beyond the case of S^2; cf. [GG2, Example 1.10].

In low dimensions, Theorem 1.1 combined with simple index analysis implies the HZ-conjecture in its original form for Hamiltonians in question. To state the result, recall first that φ_H is said to be strongly non-degenerate if all iterations of φ_H are non-degenerate.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $H\colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, *with* $2n = 2$ *or* 4, *be a Hamiltonian which is equal to a hyperbolic quadratic form* Q *at infinity such that* Q *has only real eigenvalues. Assume that* φ_H *is strongly non-degenerate and has at least two fixed points, and* $\mathrm{Fix}(\varphi_H)$ *is finite. Then* φ_H *has simple periodic orbits of arbitrarily large period.*

Note that strong non-degeneracy is a C^∞-generic condition in the class of Hamiltonians under consideration. Let us also point out that, in contrast with many closed manifolds (see, e.g., [GG2]), the existence of infinitely many periodic orbits is obviously not a C^∞- or even C^2-generic property of Hamiltonians in Theorem 1.4: one has to have an extra periodic orbit which serves as a seed eventually "spawning an infinitude of off-springs".

In dimension two, the strong non-degeneracy requirement can be relaxed. It suffices to just assume that φ_H has at least two isolated homologically non-trivial fixed points; see Theorem 4.3. (Also, note that in this case the eigenvalues of a hyperbolic quadratic form are automatically real.) However, in dimension four, non-degeneracy enters the proof in a crucial way. Finally, note that the 2-dimensional case of Theorem 1.4 is intimately related to the Franks' theorem; see Remarks 4.4 and 4.5.

1.3. **Organization of the paper.**

In Section 2, we set conventions and notation, and briefly recall some of the tools used in the paper and provide relevant references. We establish a version of the maximum principle and show that the Floer homology as well as the relevant continuation maps are defined for the class of Hamiltonians in question in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.4.

1.4. **Acknowledgements.**

The author is grateful to Alberto Abbondandolo, Viktor Ginzburg, Leonid Polterovich, and Cem Yalçın Yıldırım for useful remarks and discussions.

2. Conventions and notation

Throughout the paper, we will be working with the symplectic manifold $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$, where ω is the standard symplectic form. All Hamiltonians H considered here are assumed to be one-periodic in time, i.e., $H\colon S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$, and we set $H_t = H(t, \cdot)$ for $t \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The Hamiltonian vector field X_H of H is defined by $i_{X_H}\omega = -dH$. The (time-dependent) flow of X_H is denoted by φ_H^t and its time-one map by φ_H. Such time-one maps are referred to as *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*. The action of a one-periodic Hamiltonian H on a contractible loop $\gamma\colon S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_H(\gamma) = -\int_z \omega + \int_{S^1} H_t(\gamma(t))\, dt,$$

where $z\colon D^2 \to M$ is such that $z\,|_{S^1} = \gamma$. The least action principle asserts that the critical points of $\mathcal{A}_H$ on the space of all maps $\gamma\colon S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are exactly the one-periodic orbits of φ_H^t.

Let K and H be two one-periodic Hamiltonians. The "composition" $K\natural H$ is defined by the formula

$$(K\natural H)_t = K_t + H_t \circ (\varphi_K^t)^{-1},$$

and the flow of $K\natural H$ is $\varphi_K^t \circ \varphi_H^t$. We set $H^{\natural k} = H\natural \ldots \natural H$ (k times). Abusing terminology, we will refer to $H^{\natural k}$ as the kth iteration of H. Clearly, $H^{\natural k} = kH$ when H is autonomous. (Note that the flow $\varphi^t_{H^{\natural k}} = (\varphi^t_H)^k$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is homotopic with fixed end-points to the flow φ^t_H, $t \in [0, k]$. Also, in general, $H^{\natural k}$ is not one-periodic, even when H is.) Furthermore, setting

$$\|F\|_B = \int_{S^1} \sup_B |F|\, dt \tag{2.1}$$

for a bounded set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we have $\|H^{\natural k}\|_B = k\|H\|_B$. Note that $\|F\|_B$ is a variant of the Hofer norm. (When F is compactly supported on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we will also use the notation $\|F\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ with the obvious meaning.)

The kth iteration of a one-periodic orbit γ of H will be denoted by γ^k. More specifically, $\gamma^k(t) = \varphi^t_{H^{\natural k}}(\gamma(0))$, where $t \in [0, 1]$. We can think of γ^k as the k-periodic orbit $\gamma(t)$, $t \in [0, k]$, of H. Hence, there is an action-preserving one-to-one correspondence between one-periodic orbits of $H^{\natural k}$ and k-periodic orbits of H.

The *action spectrum* $\mathcal{S}(H)$ of H is the set of critical values of $\mathcal{A}_H$. This is a zero measure, closed (hence nowhere dense) set; see, e.g., [HZ]. Clearly, the action functional is homogeneous with respect to iteration:

$$\mathcal{A}_{H^{\natural k}}(\gamma^k) = k\mathcal{A}_H(\gamma).$$

A periodic orbit γ of H is said to be *non-degenerate* if the linearized return map $d\varphi_H\colon T_{\gamma(0)}M \to T_{\gamma(0)}M$ has no eigenvalues equal to one. A Hamiltonian is called non-degenerate if all its one-periodic orbits are non-degenerate and strongly non-degenerate if all k-periodic orbits (for all k) are non-degenerate.

Let γ be a non-degenerate periodic orbit. The *Conley–Zehnder index* $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{CZ}}(H, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is defined, up to a sign, as in [Sa, SZ]. (When H is clear from the context we use the notation $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{CZ}}(\gamma)$.) More specifically, in this paper, the Conley–Zehnder index is the negative of that in [Sa]. In other words, we normalize $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{CZ}}$ so that $\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{CZ}}(\gamma) = n$ when γ is a non-degenerate maximum of an autonomous Hamiltonian with small Hessian. Furthermore, recall that the mean index $\Delta_H(\gamma)$ is defined regardless of whether γ is degenerate or not, and $\Delta_H(\gamma)$ depends continuously on H and γ in the obvious sense. When γ is non-degenerate, we have

$$0 < |\Delta_H(\gamma) - \mu_{\rm CZ}(H, \gamma)| < n.$$

Furthermore, the mean index is also homogeneous with respect to iteration:

$$\Delta_{H^{\natural k}}(\gamma^k) = k\Delta_H(\gamma).$$

3. Maximum principle and Floer homology

Our goal in this section is to show that the Floer homology is defined and has the standard properties for the class of Hamiltonians in question. In our setting, essentially the only issue to deal with is the compactness of moduli spaces of Floer trajectories, which we establish by proving a version of the maximum principle.

3.1. **Floer homology.** Let Q be a hyperbolic quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, i.e., Q is non-degenerate and the Hamiltonian vector field X_Q has no eigenvalues on $\mathbf{i}\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, assume that all eigenvalues of Q are real. (See Remark 3.3 for a variant of the maximum principle in the complex case.) In this section, we consider time-dependent Hamiltonians $H \colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ which are compactly supported perturbations of Q, i.e., the Hamiltonians which coincide with Q outside a bounded open set B. (The quadratic form Q is fixed, but the set B is not.) We denote the class of such Hamiltonians by $\mathcal{H}_Q$. Let J be an almost complex structure compatible with ω.

We are interested in solutions $u(s, t)$ of the Floer equation

$$\partial_s u + J\partial_t u = -\nabla H. \tag{3.1}$$

Here the gradient on the right is taken with respect to the one-periodic in time metric $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot\rangle = \omega(\cdot\,, J\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}$, and (s, t) are the coordinates on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$.

In this setting we have:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that J is suitably adapted to Q outside B. Then any solution of the Floer equation (3.1) for the pair (H, J) that is asymptotic to periodic orbits of H in B is necessarily contained in B.*

Theorem 3.1 is an immediate consequence of the following proposition, which we will refer to as the maximum principle.

Proposition 3.2 (Maximum Principle)**.** *Let Q be a hyperbolic quadratic form on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ with only real eigenvalues, and let J be an almost complex structure compatible with ω and suitably adapted to Q. Then for any solution u of the Floer equation (3.1) for (Q, J), the function $\rho = \|u\|^2/2$ does not attain a maximum on any connected open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ unless ρ is constant.*

Proof. We will prove that ρ is subharmonic on Ω, i.e., $\Delta\rho \geq 0$, where the Laplacian is taken with respect to metric $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot\rangle = \omega(\cdot\,, J\cdot)$.

Equip $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with symplectic coordinates (p, q) such that

$$Q(p, q) = \langle Ap, q\rangle = \langle p, A^T q\rangle, \tag{3.2}$$

where A is a non-degenerate upper triangular matrix for which the following property holds. When we express A as $A = D + E$, where D is a diagonal matrix and E is a strictly upper triangular matrix, we require E to be sufficiently small relative to D. (We will specify shortly how small E has to be, but let us note that this can be achieved by a change of basis.) Observe that this determines the metric $\|p\|^2 + \|q\|^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and the complex structure J such that $J\partial_p = -\partial_q$. This is what we mean by "J is suitably adapted to Q".

In what follows, we calculate the Laplacian, where we set $u(s,t) = (p,q)$ and use the Floer equation (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\rho &= \rho_{ss} + \rho_{tt}\\ &= \|u_s\|^2 + \|u_t\|^2 - \langle u, \partial_s \nabla H\rangle + \langle u, J\partial_t \nabla H\rangle\\ &= \|u_s\|^2 + \|u_t\|^2 + \|Dp\|^2 + \|Dq\|^2\\ &\quad + \langle E^2 p, p\rangle + \langle E^2 q, q\rangle + 2\langle DE\, p, p\rangle + 2\langle DE\, q, q\rangle\\ &\quad + \langle p, \left(E - E^T\right) q_s\rangle - \langle q, \left(E - E^T\right) p_s\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Next, we specify the requirements on E. To this end, let $\lambda := \min|\lambda_i|$, where λ_i's are the eigenvalues of A (or D). Then we have

$$\|Dp\|^2 \geq \lambda^2 \|p\|^2 \text{ and } \|Dq\|^2 \geq \lambda^2 \|q\|^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, E is required to be so small that (i), (ii), and (iii) below hold:

(i) $|\langle E^2 p, p\rangle| < \dfrac{\lambda^2}{10}\|p\|^2$ and $|\langle E^2 q, q\rangle| < \dfrac{\lambda^2}{10}\|q\|^2$,

(ii) $|\langle DE\, p, p\rangle| < \dfrac{\lambda^2}{20}\|p\|^2$ and $|\langle DE\, q, q\rangle| < \dfrac{\lambda^2}{20}\|q\|^2$,

(iii) $|\langle p, \left(E - E^T\right) q_s\rangle| < \dfrac{\lambda^2}{8}\|p\|\|q_s\|$ and $|\langle q, \left(E - E^T\right) p_s\rangle| < \dfrac{\lambda^2}{8}\|q\|\|p_s\|$.

Using (3.4), and (i), (ii), and (iii), it is straightforward to turn (3.3) into

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\rho &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{10}\|u\|^2 + \|u_s\|^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\|u\|\|u_s\|\\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{10}\|u\|^2 + \left(\|u_s\| - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\|u\|\right)^2\\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{10}\|u\|^2 \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

□

Remark 3.3. It is easy to see that a similar maximum principle holds when the quadratic form Q has complex eigenvalues λ_i, provided that $|\mathrm{Re}\ \lambda_i| > |\mathrm{Im}\ \lambda_i|$ or equivalently $\mathrm{Re}\ \lambda_i^2 > 0$ for all eigenvalues. However, in general, without this assumption (or when Q is elliptic, but not positive definite), there seems to be no reason to expect the maximum principle to hold.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, the total and filtered Floer homology groups of $H \in \mathcal{H}_Q$, denoted by $\mathrm{HF}(H)$ and $\mathrm{HF}^{(a,\,b)}(H)$, respectively, are defined and have properties similar to those for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds; see, e.g, [HZ, MS]. (For the sake of simplicity all homology groups are taken over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.) Likewise, the local Floer homology $\mathrm{HF}(H,\gamma)$ of H at an isolated periodic orbit γ is also defined and has the usual properties; see, e.g., [Fl1, Fl2, Gi2, GG3]. (Here J is an almost complex structure compatible with ω, generic within B and, as above, adapted to Q at infinity.)

In order to define continuation maps induced by homotopies of Hamiltonians in $\mathcal{H}_Q$, we need to be more specific on the choice of the set B. Namely, assume that every integral curve of Q intersects B along a connected set and that all Floer and homotopy trajectories are contained in B. (The first requirement guarantees that all periodic orbits of H are contained in B.) For instance, we can take as B a sufficiently large ball with respect to a metric adapted to Q. Then, for any two Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 in $\mathcal{H}_Q$, we have a continuation map

$$\Psi\colon \operatorname{HF}^{(a,\,b)}(H_0) \to \operatorname{HF}^{(a,\,b)+C}(H_1), \tag{3.6}$$

induced by a homotopy H_s in $\mathcal{H}_Q$ with a common set B. Here $(a,\,b)+C$ stands for $(a+C,\,b+C)$, and $C = \|\partial_s H_s\|_B$ with the norm defined as in (2.1); see [Gi1]. In particular, $\operatorname{HF}(H) = \operatorname{HF}(Q) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, concentrated in degree $\mu_{\mathrm{CZ}}(Q, 0) = 0$.

3.2. **Continuation maps beyond $\mathcal{H}_Q$.** Throughout this section, let B be a ball with respect to a metric adapted to Q.

The class $\mathcal{H}_Q$ is not closed under iterations. For instance, $H^{\natural 2} \in \mathcal{H}_{2Q}$ when $H \in \mathcal{H}_Q$. To incorporate iterations into the picture, we consider a broader class $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_Q$ which is the union of the classes $\mathcal{H}_{kQ}$ for all real $k > 0$. Clearly, this class is now closed under iterations and all kQ share the same collection of adapted complex structures. A homotopy H_s from H_0 to H_1 in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_Q$ is called *slow* if $H_s = k(s)Q$ outside B, where $k(s) > 0$, and, say,

$$|k'(s)| \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{20} \inf_{x\in B} \frac{\|x\|^2}{|Q(x)|}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\lambda = \min|\lambda_i|$ is as in Section 3.1; cf. [CFH]. Clearly, the right hand side in (3.7) is positive, and hence any homotopy in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_Q$ with a common set B can be reparametrized to make it slow.

Theorem 3.4. *Let H_s be a slow homotopy from H_0 to H_1 in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_Q$. The continuation map Ψ as in (3.6) is defined, where again $C = \|\partial_s H_s\|_B$. This map is independent of the slow homotopy.*

The continuation maps Ψ have properties similar to their counterparts in the ordinary Floer homology. For instance, the continuation map induced by a concatenation of homotopies is equal to the composition of the continuation maps, and continuation maps commute with the maps in the long exact sequence in filtered Floer homology. (See [Gi1] for a detailed account on the so-called C-bounded homotopies in filtered Floer homology.) Note, however, that here, as in (3.6), the adapted almost complex structure is supposed to be independent of s outside B.

Proof. It suffices to show that the maximum principle extends to solutions of the Floer equation for slow homotopies $Q_s = k(s)Q$ connecting $Q_0 = k_0 Q = Q^{\natural k_0}$ and $Q_1 = k_1 Q = Q^{\natural k_1}$, where $k_0 = k(0)$ and $k_1 = k(1)$. To this end, writing Q_s as in (3.2), set $A_s = k(s)A$. Let J be a complex structure adapted to Q. Calculating the Laplacian in this case, we see that (3.5) turns into

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\rho &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{10}\|u\|^2 - 2\langle A_s' p, q\rangle \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{10}\|u\|^2 - 2|k'(s)||Q(u)|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Delta\rho \geq 0$ by (3.7). $\square$

Remark 3.5. The maximum principle is also known to hold for positive definite quadratic Hamiltonians; see [McD, Vi] and also [Se]. This fact underlies the definition of symplectic homology and, in fact, it was the motivation of our approach in this paper. However, it is worth pointing out that in this case the continuation map between a Hamiltonian equal to kQ at infinity and the one equal to $(k+1)Q$ at infinity is defined only in one direction and this map, depending on Q, may be zero. This is the main reason why our approach to the proof of Theorem 1.1 does not carry over to positive definite quadratic Hamiltonians.

4. Proofs and generalizations

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.**

As has been mentioned in the introduction, we establish a more general result. To state it, recall again that an isolated periodic orbit x is said to be homologically non-trivial if the local Floer homology of H at x is non-zero. For instance, a non-degenerate fixed point is homologically non-trivial. More generally, an isolated fixed point with non-vanishing topological index is homologically non-trivial; for this index is equal, up to a sign, to the Euler characteristic of the local Floer homology. The notion of homological non-triviality seems to be particularly well-suited for use in the context of HZ- and Conley conjectures; see, e.g., Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $H\colon \mathbb{R}^{2n}\times S^1\to\mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian which is equal to a hyperbolic quadratic form Q at infinity, i.e., outside a compact set, and such that Q has only real eigenvalues. Assume that φ_H has an isolated homologically non-trivial fixed point with non-zero mean index and* $\operatorname{Fix}(\varphi_H)$ *is finite. Then φ_H has simple periodic orbits of arbitrarily large period.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let B be a set as in Section 3.2, for instance, it can be a sufficiently large ball with respect to a metric adapted to Q. Before we actually turn to the proof of the theorem, we need to state a few relevant facts.

First, it will be essential for what follows to understand explicitly how $H^{\natural k}$ depends on k. To this end, set

$$B_k=\bigcup_{t\in[-k,\,k]}\varphi_Q^t(B).$$

We claim that

$$H^{\natural k}=kQ+f_k,\ \text{ where }\ \operatorname{supp} f_k\subset[0,\,1]\times B_{k-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, for all $m>0$, we have

$$\|f_{k+m}-f_k\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\leq 2m\|Q\|_B+\|f_m\|_{B_{m-1}} \tag{4.2}$$

with the norm defined as in (2.1). The important point here is that this upper bound is independent of k.

To see that this is true, we first observe that

$$H^{\natural k}=kQ+\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}f\circ(\varphi_H^t)^{-l}+\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\left(Q\circ(\varphi_H^t)^{-l}-Q\right).$$

Noticing that $(\varphi_H^t)^l(B)\subseteq B_l$, we conclude that (4.1) holds. The upper bound (4.2) is then a consequence of the fact that

$$\|Q\circ(\varphi_H^t)^{-l}-Q\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\leq 2\|Q\|_B,$$

which follows from the energy conservation law for Q.

It is worth mentioning again that the Hamiltonians $H^{\natural k}$ are not one-periodic in time even when H is. This issue, however, is quite standard and can be dealt with in a straightforward way. Namely, consider a Hamiltonian $G = Q + g$, where $g = g_t$ is time-dependent for $t \in [0, 1]$. The Hamiltonian diffeomorphism φ_G can be generated by a one-periodic Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{G} = Q + \lambda'(t) g_{\lambda(t)} \circ \varphi_Q^{\lambda(t)-t},$$

where $\lambda\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is an increasing function equal to zero for $t \approx 0$ and one for $t \approx 1$. We apply this procedure to $H^{\natural k}$. The actions, the Conley-Zehnder indices, and the mean indices of the periodic orbits do not change. The change of the set B_k can be made arbitrarily small, of the order $\|1 - \lambda'(t)\|_{L^1}$. As a consequence, the upper bound (4.2) can also be adjusted by an arbitrarily small amount independent of k. In what follows, we will treat the Hamiltonians $H^{\natural k}$ as one-periodic in time, allowing for these straightforward modifications.

Now we are in a position to proceed with the proof. It suffices to show that there exist arbitrarily large primes which occur as periods of simple periodic orbits. Arguing by contradiction, assume that only finitely many prime numbers are attained as the periods. From now on, we always denote by p or p_i a prime number greater than the largest period. Then for any such prime p all p-periodic orbits of φ_H are iterations of fixed points of φ_H, and hence $\mathcal{S}(H^{\natural p}) = p\,\mathcal{S}(H)$. Recall in this connection that φ_H is assumed to have finitely many fixed points. Next, let us note that all sufficiently large prime numbers are admissible in the sense of [GG3]. Thus, under such iterations of H, the orbit x stays isolated, and

$$\mathrm{HF}(H^{\natural p}, x^p) = \mathrm{HF}(H, x)$$

up to a shift of degree determined by the order of iteration p; see [GG3, Theorem 1.1]. In particular, in our case, $\mathrm{HF}(H^{\natural p}, x^p) \neq 0$ since $\mathrm{HF}(H, x) \neq 0$.

Assume that $\Delta_H(x) > 0$, for the argument is similar if $\Delta_H(x) < 0$. Moreover, we can assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{A}_H(x) = 0$, which can be ensured by adding a constant to H. Consequently, $\mathcal{A}_{H^{\natural p}}(x^p) = 0$ for all iterations p. Let $a > 0$ be outside $\mathcal{S}(H)$ such that 0 is the only point in $(-a,\, a) \cap \mathcal{S}(H)$ and therefore in $(-ap,\, ap) \cap \mathcal{S}(H^{\natural p})$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{HF}_*^{(-ap,\, ap)}(H^{\natural p}) = \mathrm{HF}_*(H^{\natural p}, x^p) \oplus \dots, \tag{4.3}$$

where the dots represent the local Floer homology contributions from the fixed points with zero action other than x. Furthermore, we henceforth focus on degrees $*$ such that $|*| > n$. This guarantees that the fixed points with zero mean index do not contribute to $\mathrm{HF}_*^{(-ap,\, ap)}(H^{\natural p})$, for their local Floer homology groups are supported in $[-n,\, n]$, where the support is, by definition, the set of degrees for which the local Floer homology groups are non-zero. Thus all terms on the right hand side of (4.3) come from fixed points with non-zero mean index. Moreover, we can further restrict $*$ so that only the fixed points γ having the same mean index as x contribute to the right hand side of (4.3). This is possible since the supports of local Floer homology groups coming from fixed points with other non-zero mean indices are separated from $\operatorname{supp} \mathrm{HF}_*(H^{\natural p}, x^p) \subset [p\Delta_H(x) - n,\, p\Delta_H(x) + n]$ whenever p is sufficiently large.

From now on, we work with primes $p > 2$ which are as large as is needed above. Let us order these prime numbers as $2 < p_1 < p_2 < \dots$. In what follows, p_i always denotes a prime from this sequence.

Next, recall that $\Delta_H(x) > 0$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m > n/\Delta_H(x)$. Then, using the fact that $p_{i+m} - p_i \geq 2m$, we see that the supports of $\mathrm{HF}(H^{\natural p_i}, \gamma^{p_i})$ and $\mathrm{HF}(H^{\natural p_{i+m}}, \gamma^{p_{i+m}})$ are disjoint for all i and for all fixed points γ of φ_H with $\mathcal{A}_H(\gamma) = 0$ and $\Delta_H(\gamma) = \Delta_H(x)$. This is because

$$[p_i\Delta_H(x) - n,\, p_i\Delta_H(x) + n] \cap [p_{i+m}\Delta_H(x) - n,\, p_{i+m}\Delta_H(x) + n] = \emptyset,$$

where the first interval contains $\operatorname{supp}\mathrm{HF}(H^{\natural p_i}, \gamma^{p_i})$ and the second one contains $\operatorname{supp}\mathrm{HF}(H^{\natural p_{i+m}}, \gamma^{p_{i+m}})$. Moreover, for any p_i, there exists an integer s_i such that $\mathrm{HF}_{s_i}(H^{\natural p_i}, x^{p_i}) \neq 0$ as is mentioned earlier and proved in [GG3]. Thus we see that

$$\mathrm{HF}_{s_i}(H^{\natural p_i}, x^{p_i}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{HF}_{s_i}(H^{\natural p_{i+m}}, \gamma^{p_{i+m}}) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

for all fixed points γ as above, since s_i is outside $\operatorname{supp}\mathrm{HF}(H^{\natural p_{i+m}}, \gamma^{p_{i+m}})$ for all such γ.

Let $C = 3m\|Q\|_B + \|f_m\|_{B_{m-1}}$ and choose p_i so large that $p_i a > 6C(p_{i+m} - p_i)$. The latter is guaranteed by the fact that $p_{i+1} - p_i = o(p_i)$; see [BHP]. (Obviously, one can write $p_{i+m} - p_i$ as a telescoping sum of the differences of two consecutive primes, and hence, by a simple inductive argument, $p_{i+m} - p_i = o(p_i)$.) Now, pick $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$-p_i a < -\alpha < -\alpha + 2C(p_{i+m} - p_i) < 0 < \alpha < \alpha + 2C(p_{i+m} - p_i) < p_i a.$$

For instance, α satisfying $p_i a - 4C(p_{i+m} - p_i) < \alpha < p_i a - 2C(p_{i+m} - p_i)$ would work. As a consequence, we also have

$$-p_{i+m} a < -\alpha + C(p_{i+m} - p_i) < 0 < \alpha + C(p_{i+m} - p_i) < p_{i+m} a.$$

Set $\delta_i := C(p_{i+m} - p_i)$. Then for a linear homotopy from $H^{\natural p_i}$ to $H^{\natural p_{i+m}}$ (see Theorem 3.4), by (4.2) and the energy conservation law for Q, we have the induced map

$$\mathrm{HF}^{(-\alpha,\,\alpha)}\left(H^{\natural p_i}\right) \to \mathrm{HF}^{(-\alpha,\,\alpha)+\delta_i}\left(H^{\natural p_{i+m}}\right).$$

Likewise, the linear homotopy map from $H^{\natural p_{i+m}}$ to $H^{\natural p_i}$ results in another action shift in δ_i. Consider now the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{HF}_{s_i}^{(-\alpha,\,\alpha)+\delta_i}\left(H^{\natural p_{i+m}}\right) = 0 \arrow[dr] & \\
0 \neq \mathrm{HF}_{s_i}^{(-\alpha,\,\alpha)}\left(H^{\natural p_i}\right) \arrow[ur] \arrow[rr, "\cong"] & & \mathrm{HF}_{s_i}^{(-\alpha,\,\alpha)+2\delta_i}\left(H^{\natural p_i}\right)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the top group is zero due to our choice of the degree s_i. On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{HF}_{s_i}^{(-\alpha,\,\alpha)}(H^{\natural p_i}) = \mathrm{HF}_{s_i}(H^{\natural p_i}, x^{p_i}) \oplus \ldots \neq 0,$$

and the horizontal arrow is induced by the natural quotient-inclusion map; see, e.g. [Gi1]. This is, indeed, an isomorphism by the stability of filtered Floer homology (see, e.g., [GG3]) because 0 is the only action value in the intervals $(-\alpha,\,\alpha)$ and $(-\alpha,\,\alpha) + 2\delta_i$. To summarize, a non-zero isomorphism factors through a zero group in the diagram. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Notice that we have actually established the existence of a simple periodic orbit of either $H^{\natural p_i}$ or $H^{\natural p_{i+m}}$. In particular, starting with a sufficiently large prime number, among every m consecutive primes, there exists at least one prime which is the period of a simple periodic orbit of φ_H.

Furthermore, for an infinite sequence of simple p_l-periodic orbits x_l of φ_H found this way, where $p_l \to \infty$, we have $\Delta_{H^{\natural p_l}}(x_l)/p_l \to \Delta_H(x)$. Hence, in some sense, the mean index $\Delta_H(x)$ is an accumulation point in the union of normalized index spectra for H and its all iterations. (Of course, $\Delta_H(x)$ could possibly be isolated, but then $\Delta_{H^{\natural p_l}}(x_l)/p_l = \Delta_H(x)$.)

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** As has been pointed out in the introduction, we have a more general result in dimension two:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $H\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian which is equal to a hyperbolic quadratic form at infinity. Assume that φ_H has at least two isolated homologically non-trivial fixed points and $\mathrm{Fix}(\varphi_H)$ is finite. Then φ_H has simple periodic orbits of arbitrarily large period.*

Remark 4.4. A similar two-dimensional result holds when H is elliptic quadratic at infinity. (In fact, the requirement that the fixed points be homologically non-trivial is not needed in this case.) Indeed, since the Hamiltonian is elliptic outside a compact set, a sufficiently large sub-level will be invariant under the flow. Then Franks' theorem stating that an area-preserving map of the two-disk has either one or infinitely many periodic points, [Fr1], implies the result.

Remark 4.5. Using Theorem 4.3, we can also prove a weaker version of Franks' theorem on S^2, asserting that a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of S^2 with a hyperbolic fixed point must necessarily have infinitely many periodic orbits. However, the argument is somewhat involved, and we omit it since this result also follows from the main theorem of [GG5] and, as has been mentioned in the introduction, at least two other symplectic proofs of Franks' theorem are available, [BH, CKRTZ, Ke].

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Observe that if φ_H has a homologically non-trivial fixed point with non-zero mean index, then the theorem follows from Theorem 4.1. So, let us assume that there are at least two isolated homologically non-trivial fixed points with zero mean index. Notice that all of these points cannot have non-zero local Floer homology concentrated in degree zero: $\mathrm{HF}_*(H) = 0$ when $* \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{HF}_0(H) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus φ_H must have at least one fixed point with zero mean index and non-zero local Floer homology in degree ± 1. Such an orbit is a symplectically degenerate maximum, and its presence implies that φ_H has simple periodic orbits of arbitrarily large prime period; see [GG1, GG3] and also [Gi2, He, Hi]. (Strictly speaking, the latter fact has been established only for (a broad class of) closed symplectic manifolds. However, since the Hamiltonian in our case is a compactly supported perturbation of a hyperbolic quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, having periodic orbits only within the support of the perturbation, the proof in the case of closed manifolds, for instance the one in [GG1], goes through word-for-word.) $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 in dimension four. Recall that the homology is concentrated in degree zero and $\mathrm{HF}_0(H) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence one of the fixed points of φ_H must have non-zero Conley-Zehnder index. By a straightforward index analysis, it is easy to see that, in dimension four, such an orbit must necessarily have non-zero mean index. Finally, applying Theorem 4.1, we obtain the existence of simple periodic orbits with arbitrarily large prime period. □

References

[AZ] H. Amann, E. Zehnder, Periodic solutions of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems, *Manuscripta Math.* **32** (1980), no. 1-2, 149–189.

[An] F. Antonacci, Existence of periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems with potential indefinite in sign, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **29** (1997), no. 12, 1353–1364.

[BHP] R.C. Baker, G. Harman J. Pintz, The difference between consecutive primes, II, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **83** (2001), no. 3, 532–562.

[BH] B. Bramham, H. Hofer, First steps towards a symplectic dynamics, Preprint 2011, arXiv:1102.3723.

[CGG] M. Chance, V.L. Ginzburg, B.Z. Gürel, Action-index relations for perfect Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, Preprint 2011, arXiv:1110.6728; to appear in *J. Sympl. Geom.*

[CFH] K. Cieliebak, A. Floer, H. Hofer, Symplectic homology, II. A general construction, *Math. Z.*, **218** (1995), 103–122.

[CKRTZ] B. Collier, E. Kerman, B.M. Reiniger, B. Turmunkh, A. Zimmer, A symplectic proof of a theorem of Franks, Preprint 2011, arXiv:1107.1282; to appear in *Compos. Math.*

[CZ] C.C. Conley, E. Zehnder, Morse-type index theory for flows and periodic solutions for Hamiltonian equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **37** (1984), 207–253.

[EP] M. Entov, L. Polterovich, Rigid subsets of symplectic manifolds, *Compos. Math.* **145** (2009), 773–826.

[Fl1] A. Floer, Witten's complex and infinite-dimensional Morse theory, *J. Differential Geom.*, **30** (1989), 207–221.

[Fl2] A. Floer, Symplectic fixed points and holomorphic spheres, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **120** (1989), 575–611.

[Fr1] J. Franks, Geodesics on S^2 and periodic points of annulus homeomorphisms, *Invent. Math.*, **108** (1992), 403–418.

[Fr2] J. Franks, Area preserving homeomorphisms of open surfaces of genus zero, *New York Jour. of Math.*, **2** (1996), 1–19.

[FH] J. Franks, M. Handel, Periodic points of Hamiltonian surface diffeomorphisms, *Geom. Topol.*, **7** (2003), 713–756.

[Gi1] V.L. Ginzburg, Coisotropic intersections, *Duke Math. J.*, **140** (2007), 111–163.

[Gi2] V.L. Ginzburg, The Conley conjecture, *Ann. of Math.*, **172** (2010), 1127–1180.

[GG1] V.L. Ginzburg, B.Z. Gürel, Action and index spectra and periodic orbits in Hamiltonian dynamics, *Geom. Topol.*, **13** (2009), 2745–2805.

[GG2] V.L. Ginzburg, B.Z. Gürel, On the generic existence of periodic orbits in Hamiltonian dynamics, *J. Mod. Dyn.*, **3** (2009), 595–610.

[GG3] V.L. Ginzburg, B.Z. Gürel, Local Floer homology and the action gap, *J. Sympl. Geom.*, **8** (2010), 323–357.

[GG4] V.L. Ginzburg, B.Z. Gürel, Conley conjecture for negative monotone symplectic manifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2011, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnr081.

[GG5] V.L. Ginzburg, B.Z. Gürel, Hyperbolic fixed points and periodic orbits of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, Preprint 2012, arXiv:1208.1733.

[He] D. Hein, The Conley conjecture for irrational symplectic manifolds, Preprint 2009, arXiv:0912.2064; to appear in *J. Sympl. Geom.*

[Hi] N. Hingston, Subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian equations on tori, *Ann. of Math.*, **170** (2009), 525–560.

[HS] H. Hofer, D. Salamon, Floer homology and Novikov rings, in *The Floer memorial volume*, 483–524, Progr. Math., 133, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[HZ] H. Hofer, E. Zehnder, *Symplectic Invariants and Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Birkäuser, 1994.

[Ke] E. Kerman, On primes and period growth for Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, *J. Mod. Dyn.*, **6** (2012), 41–58.

[LeC] P. Le Calvez, Periodic orbits of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms of surfaces, *Duke Math. J.*, **133** (2006), 125–184.

[Lo] Y. Long, *Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications*, Progress in Mathematics, 207, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.

[McD] D. McDuff, Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundary, *Invent. Math.*, **13** (1991), 651–671.

[MS] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology*, Colloquium publications, vol. 52, AMS, Providence, RI, 2004.

[MW] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[Ra] P.H. Rabinowitz, On subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33** (1980), no. 5, 609–633.

[Sa] D.A. Salamon, Lectures on Floer homology, in *Symplectic Geometry and Topology*, Eds: Y. Eliashberg and L. Traynor, IAS/Park City Mathematics series, **7** (1999), pp. 143–230.

[SZ] D. Salamon, E. Zehnder, Morse theory for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems and the Maslov index, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **45** (1992), 1303–1360.

[Se] P. Seidel, A biased view of symplectic cohomology, in *Current Developments in Mathematics*, Volume 2006, 211–253; Int. Press, Sommerville, MA, 2008.

[Vi] C. Viterbo, Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications, I, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **9** (1999), 985–1033.

[ZL] Q. Zhang, C. Liu, Infinitely many periodic solutions for second order Hamiltonian systems, *J. Differential Equations*, **251** (2011), no. 4-5, 816–833.

[Zo] W. Zou, Multiple solutions for second-order Hamiltonian systems via computation of the critical groups, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **44** (2001), no. 7, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 975–989.

Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37240, USA
E-mail address: `basak.gurel@vanderbilt.edu`